AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial	
United States I	DISTRICT COURT FILE D
for the	JUL 1 8 2022
Western Distri	▲ .
United States of America v.) Christian Martinez Defendant)	DEPUTY CLERK Case No. SA-22-MJ-971
ORDER OF DETENTION	ON PENDING TRIAL
Part I - Eligibility	for Detention
Upon the	
☐ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to☑ Motion of the Government or Court's own mot	
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is vand conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in a	
Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as	s to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § presumption that no condition or combination of condition and the community because the following conditions have	ns will reasonably assure the safety of any other person
(1) the defendant is charged with one of the follow	ving crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
	n of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 80	imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the 1-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act itle 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or n	cted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs nore State or local offenses that would have been offenses f this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal such offenses; or
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of	of violence but involves:
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a fir (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a fi	rearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); ailure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
(2) the defendant has previously been convicted of § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that we to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and	of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. ould have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for committed while the defendant was on release per (4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed.	nding trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and
	cribed in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial
B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
■ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
Prior criminal history
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
History of violence or use of weapons
☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse
□ Lack of stable employment
□ Lack of stable residence
☐ Lack of financially responsible sureties
Lack of significant community or family ties to this district

Case 5:22-mj-00971-RBF Document 12 Filed 07/18/22 Page 3 of 3

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial
☐ Significant family or other ties outside the United States
☐ Lack of legal status in the United States
☐ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
Use of alias(es) or false documents
Background information unknown or unverified
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release
OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:
Other reasons for the Court's conclusions were stated in open court at the detention hearing.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

арреаганее	in connection with a court proceeding.	
Date:	07/18/2022	The limit
		United States Magistrate Judge